Case 5:10-cv-05466-EJD Document 27 Filed 05/20/11 Page

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IT IS SO ORDERED
AS MODIFIED

Judge Edward J. Davila

Judge Edward J. Davila

DSM DYNEEMA

CASE NO. CV 10-5466 EJD

Plaintiff(s),

BAE SYSTEMS TENSYLON H.P.
MATERIAL, INC.
Defendant(s).

STIPULATION AND [RECOGNATION ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4) Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)

√	Private ADR (please identify process and provider)				
ikely,	although	not	necessarily,	JAMS.	
e narties ac	ree to hold the	ADE	Praction by		

the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)

other requested deadline Parties already in talks, have agreed to extend deadlines for BAE's response to complaint, and do not think early deadline would be productive.

Dated: Feb. 22, 2011

Dated:

Attorney for Plaintiff

Counsel for BAE, Eric Wesenberg, has authorized counsel for DSM to

submit this form on his behalf.

Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

TITIS SO ORDERED AS MODIFIED A

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

✓ other September 1, 2011. The parties may request an extension of this deadline, if necessary.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 20, 2011

EQU July

UNITED STATES DISTRICT

JUDGE